UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/544,189	08/01/2005 Jean-Luc Crebouw		0501-1140	8138
466 YOUNG & TH	7590 05/27/201 OMPSON	EXAMINER		
209 Madison St		JACKSON, JAKIEDA R		
Suite 500 Alexandria, VA	. 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2626	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/27/2010	ELECTRONIC

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

DocketingDept@young-thompson.com

Office Action Summary			Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)			
			10/544,189	CREBOUW, JEA	CREBOUW, JEAN-LUC			
			Examiner	Art Unit				
			JAKIEDA R. JACKSON	2626				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communi or Reply	ication appea	ars on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence a	address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MASSING (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months a per patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DAT of 37 CFR 1.136( unication. ututory period will will, by statute, ca	TE OF THIS COMMUNI  (a). In no event, however, may a  apply and will expire SIX (6) MOR  ause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on <i>19 Feb</i>	ruarv 2010.					
,			ction is non-final.					
′=	Since this application is in condition	<i>′</i> —		ters, prosecution as to tl	he merits is			
- <b>,</b>	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)🖂	Claim(s) 22-42 is/are pending in the	application.						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
'=	Claim(s) <u>22-24,26,27 and 34-36</u> is/al	re reiected.						
·	Claim(s) <u>25, 28-33 and 37-42</u> is/are	_						
•	Claim(s) are subject to restric	-	election requirement.					
	on Papers							
-	The specification is objected to by the		_					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:		• •	-				
	Applicant may not request that any object	ction to the dr	awing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	the correction	n is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37	CFR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Exa	miner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form F	PTO-152.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim to All b) Some * c) None of:		•	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
" 3	see the attached detailed Office action	n for a list of	the certified copies not	receivea.				
Attachmen			A) 🗖 Imtonië	Summary (DTO 440)				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P	TO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date				
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	. 2 0 10)	5) Notice of I	nformal Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6)  Other:								

Art Unit: 2626

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendment

1. In response to the Office Action mailed August 19, 2009, applicant submitted an amendment filed on February 19, 2010, in which the applicant amended and requested reconsideration.

### Specification

- 2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: \*\*\*.
  - the word "Fourrier", should be --Fourier—(for example, but not limited to page 13, lines 22, 25, 33).

Appropriate correction is required.

## Response to Arguments

3. Applicants argue that the prior art cited does not specifically teach the claims as amended. In particular, Applicants argue that the prior art cited does not specifically teach "applying to a temporal signal of an inverse variation of the pitch a temporal sampling of the sound signal with a variable sampling step, this step varying with an inverse value of the pitch variation". Applicants' arguments are persuasive, but are moot in view of new grounds of rejection.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2626

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 22-24, and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as unpatentable over Heikkinen (PGPUB 2002/0184009) in view of Su et al. (PGPUB 20080147384), hereinafter referenced as Su.

Regarding **claims 22 and 35**, Heikkinen discloses a method and device, hereinafter referenced as a method, for the differentiated digital processing of a sound signal, constituted an interval of a frame by a sum of sines of fixed amplitude and of which a frequency is modulated linearly as a function of time, this sum being modulated temporally by an envelope, a noise of said sound signal being added to said signal, prior to said sum, comprising:

a stage analyzing making it possible to determine parameters representing said sound signal (parametric; paragraph 0004) by

calculating the envelope of the signal (envelope; paragraph 0059),

calculating of the period of the fundamental of the voice signal (pitch) and of its variation (variations in pitch; paragraphs 0013 and 0065),

applying to a temporal signal of an inverse variation of the pitch (inverse; paragraph 0050),

performing a Fast Fourier Transformation (FFT) of a pre-processed signal (Fourier transform; paragraphs 0004-0008),

extracting signal frequential components (frequencies) and their amplitudes (amplitude) from a result of the Fast Fourier Transformation (paragraphs 0004-0008), and

calculating of the pitch in a frequential domain (frequency domain; paragraphs 0004-0008), but does not specifically teach applying a temporal sampling of the sound signal with a variable sampling step and calculating pitch with respect to the previously calculated pitch.

Su discloses a method comprising:

applying to a temporal signal (temporal) of an inverse variation of the pitch (subframes of the pitch) a temporal sampling of the sound signal with a variable sampling step, this step varying with an inverse value of the pitch variation (paragraphs 0044-0045 and 0069-0080); and

calculating the pitch in a frequential domain (frequency domain) and its variation with respect to the previously calculated pitch in order to improve a precision of the previously calculate pitch (previous pitch; paragraphs 0044-0045 and 0069-0080), to improve accuracy.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill of the art at the time the invention was made to modify Heikkinen's method as described above, to enhance the quality of speech by improving accuracy (paragraph 0102), as taught by Su.

Regarding **claim 23**, Heikkinen discloses a wherein the method further comprises a stage of synthesizing (synthesized) of said representative parameters

making it possible to reconstitute said sound signal (constructs a speech signal; paragraphs 0004-0008).

Regarding **claim 24**, Heikkinen discloses a method wherein the method further comprises a stage of coding (encoding) and of decoding (decoding) of said representative parameters of said sound signal (speech signal; paragraph 0040).

6. Claims 26 and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Heikkinen in view of Su and in further view of Alles (USPN 4,201,105).

Regarding claim 26, Heikkinen in view of Su disclose a method of determining parameters of a sound signal but does not specifically teach a method wherein it further comprises a stage of generating special effects associated with the synthesis.

Alles discloses a method characterized in that it furthermore comprises a stage of generation of special effects (special effects) associated with the synthesis (synthesis; column 6, lines 17-44), to improve sound synthesizing.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill of the art at the time the invention was made to modify Heikkinen in view of Su 's method as described above, to control amplitude and frequency parameters that produce respective constituent tones of sound segments (abstract), as taught by Alles.

Regarding claim 34, it is interpreted and rejected for similar reasons as set forth in the combination of claims 22-26.

Art Unit: 2626

7. **Claim 27** is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Heikkinen in view of Su and in further view of Thyssen et al. (USPN 6,240,386), hereinafter referenced as Thyssen.

Regarding **claim 27**, Heikkinen in view of Su disclose a method characterized in that said stage of synthesis comprises:

a summing of the sines (sinusoidal model) of which the amplitude of the frequential components (frequency) varies as a function of the envelope of the signal and of which the frequencies vary linearly (paragraphs 0004-0011),

a calculation of the phases (phases) as a function of the frequencies value (frequency) and of the values of phases and frequencies belonging to the preceding frame (paragraphs 0004-0011),

an application of the envelope (envelope; paragraph 0059), but does not specifically teach a superimposition of the noise.

Thyssen discloses a method of superimposing noise (column 44, lines 35-43), to reproduce the actual speech signal.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill of the art at the time the invention was made to modify Heikkinen in view of Su's method as described above, for higher quality decoding and reproduction (abstract), as taught by Thyssen.

8. Claim 36 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Heikkinen in view of Su and Alles and in further view of Thyssen et al. (USPN 6,240,386), hereinafter referenced as Thyssen.

Regarding **claim 36**, Heikkinen in view of Su and Alles disclose a device characterized in that said stage of synthesis comprises:

means for a summing of the sines (Heikkinen; sinusoidal model) of which the amplitude of the frequential components (frequency) varies as a function of the envelope of the signal and of which the frequencies vary linearly (paragraphs 0004-0011),

means of a calculation of the phases (phases) as a function of the frequencies value (frequency) and of the values of phases and frequencies belonging to the preceding frame (paragraphs 0004-0011),

means for applying the envelope (envelope; paragraph 0059), but does not specifically teach means of superimposition of the noise.

Thyssen discloses means for superimposing noise (column 44, lines 35-43), to reproduce the actual speech signal.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill of the art at the time the invention was made to modify Heikkinen in view of su and Alles's method as described above, for higher quality decoding and reproduction (abstract), as taught by Thyssen.

Art Unit: 2626

## Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 25, 28-33 and 37-42 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2626

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JAKIEDA R. JACKSON whose telephone number is (571)272-7619. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 5:30am-2:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Hudspeth can be reached on 571-272-7843. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Jakieda R Jackson/ Examiner, Art Unit 2626 May 11, 2010